1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
9	ΔΤ SΕΔΤΤΙ Ε		
10	MATTHEW JAMES LINDSAY ESQ., et	CASE NO. C15-47 MJP	
11	al.,	ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND	
12	Plaintiffs,	RECOMMENDATION, DENYING CORPORATE PLAINTIFFS' IN	
13	v.	FORMA PAUPERIS APPLICATIONS, AND GRANTING	
14	SHAWN PAUL ALLEN SOCKEY, et al.,	PERSONAL IN FORMA PAUPERIS APPLICATION	
15	Defendants.	THE EIGHT OF	
16			
17	THIS MATTER comes before the Court on Plaintiff Matthew James Lindsay's		
	Objections (Dkt. No. 7) to the Report and Recommendation of the Honorable Brian A. Tsuchida,		
18	United States Magistrate Judge. (Dkt. No. 6.) Having considered Plaintiff's Objections, the		
19	Report and Recommendation, and all related papers, the Court ADOPTS the Report and		
20	Recommendation, DENIES the corporate Plaintiffs' applications to proceed in forma pauperis,		
21	and ORDERS the corporate Plaintiffs to pay the filing fee, no later than two weeks from the date		
22	of this order, or be dismissed from this action. Plaintiff Lindsay's personal application to		
23	proceed with the case in forma pauperis is GRANTED.		
24	ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION, DENYING CORPORATE PLAINTIFFS' IN FORMA PAUPERIS APPLICATIONS, AND GRANTING PERSONAL		

IN FORMA PAUPERIS APPLICATION- 1

1 Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 72, the Court must resolve de novo any part of the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation that has been properly objected to and may accept, reject, or modify the recommended disposition. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3); see also 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Judge Tsuchida recommended that the in forma pauperis applications of Plaintiffs Shoreway Contracting Company, Inc., and Shoreway Development Company be denied because the Supreme Court held in Rowland v. California Men's Colony, 506 U.S. 194 (1993), that 28 U.S.C. § 1915 applied only to natural persons. (Dkt. No. 6 at 1.) Though Plaintiff Lindsay disagrees with the recommendation, it is uncontested that Shoreway Contracting Company, Inc., and Shoreway Development Company are not natural persons. (Dkt. No. 7 at 2-3.) Because the corporate Plaintiffs are ineligible for in forma pauperis status, Rowland, 506 U.S. at 201, their applications must be DENIED. Based on the financial information provided by Plaintiff Matthew James Lindsay, (Dkt. No. 7 at 4), his personal application to proceed with the case in forma pauperis is GRANTED. The Court hereby ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation of the Honorable Brian A. Tsuchida. (Dkt. No. 6.) Corporate Plaintiffs' in forma pauperis applications are DENIED, and corporate Plaintiffs are ORDERED to pay the filing fee within two weeks of the date of this order or be dismissed from this action. Plaintiff Lindsay's personal application is GRANTED. ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND

RECOMMENDATION, DENYING CORPORATE PLAINTIFFS' IN FORMA PAUPERIS APPLICATIONS, AND GRANTING PERSONAL IN FORMA PAUPERIS APPLICATION- 2

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	The clerk is ordered to provide copies of this order to all counsel.	
2	Dated this 19th day of February, 2015.	
3		
4	\neg . \square .	
5	Marshy Helens	
6	Marsha J. Pechman Chief United States District Judge	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION DENYING CORPORATE	

RECOMMENDATION, DENYING CORPORATE PLAINTIFFS' IN FORMA PAUPERIS APPLICATIONS, AND GRANTING PERSONAL IN FORMA PAUPERIS APPLICATION- 3